

## MEETING MINUTES

### Riley-Purgatory-Bluff Creek Watershed District

October 7, 2015, Board of Managers Public Hearing and Monthly Meeting

#### PRESENT:

Managers: Mary Bisek, Vice President

Richard Chadwick

Jill Crafton, Treasurer

Perry Forster, President

Leslie Yetka, Secretary

Staff: Claire Bleser, RPBCWD Administrator

Michelle Jordan, RPBCWD Water Quality & Outreach Coordinator

Scott Sobiech, Engineer (Barr Engineering Company)

Louis Smith, Attorney (Smith Partners)

Other attendees: Bob Adomaitis, CAC; LRIA; SWMLC

Laurie Hable, CAC

Joe Bischoff, Wenck

Josiah Hawley, Barr Engineering

Paul Bulger, CAC

Larry Koch, Chanhassen Resident

Joel Cooper, James R. Hill

Dorothy Pedersen, CAC; Silver Lake

#### 1. Call to Order

President Forster called the Wednesday, October 7, 2015, Board of Managers Monthly Meeting to order at 7:03 p.m. at the RPBCWD District Office at 14500 Martin Drive, Suite 1500, Eden Prairie, MN 55344.

#### 2. Approval of the Agenda

President Forster announced that agenda item 3 "Rice Marsh Lake/Lake Riley UAA and Alum Presentations" is an informational item and not an action item. He requested to add under Board Actions subsection b-Variance Requests an item "Post Brothers Permit." President Forster also requested the addition of a closed session for an update on the Post Brothers litigation. Manager Crafton moved to approve the agenda as amended. Manager Chadwick seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

#### 3. Rice Marsh Lake/Lake Riley UAA and Alum Presentations

##### Rice Marsh Lake/Lake Riley UAA

Administrator Bleser provided background on the Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) and said its purpose is to look at what the District needs to do for Rice Marsh Lake and Lake Riley in order to meet state statute and the water quality goals assigned for those lakes. She introduced District Engineer Scott Sobiech to talk at the UAAs.

Engineer Sobiech gave an overview of factors that affect water quality in lakes. He displayed PowerPoint slides and spoke about the goals of the UAA, including two primary goals: to estimate the phosphorous budgets for both

lakes and to identify potential water quality improvement projects to achieve the water quality goals for both resources. Engineer Sobiech explained the UAA process and talked about the adaptive management approach, which included reviewing past studies and available data, doing watershed modeling, performing in-lake mass balance modeling, and simulating water quality Best Management Practices (BMPS), among other steps.

Engineer Sobiech gave a watershed and lake review, discussed growing season average water quality in Rice Marsh Lake and Lake Riley, and talked about watershed loading and lake inflows into both lakes. He reported on the 2014 water year lake phosphorous budgets:

Rice Marsh Lake: 1,615 pounds of which 44% was external watershed load, 35% was internal load, 20% was from Lake Susan, and 1% was categorized as ATM dep.

Lake Riley: 2,870 pounds of which 34% was internal load, 29% was external watershed load, 32% was from Rice Marsh Lake, and 5% was categorized as ATM dep.

Engineer Sobiech also addressed the 2014 growing season lake phosphorous budgets, which spans the months from June to the end of September. He pointed out that the phosphorous loading in Rice Marsh Lake was reduced when looking at just the growing season whereas the loading for Lake Riley stayed about the same over the same time period. He described how the model simulation indicates that Rice Marsh Lake has internal loading.

Engineer Sobiech talked about possible Rice Marsh Lake BMPS and recommended three:

- Alum treatment on Rice Marsh Lake plus maintaining Lake Susan at the Shallow Lake Standard;
- Iron-enhanced sand filter to northwest of the lake;
- Iron-enhanced sand filter upstream of the lake.

He commented that iron-enhanced sand filters are very effective at managing dissolved phosphorous. Engineer Sobiech discussed the simulated Total Phosphorous load reduction by BMP for Rice Marsh Lake. He talked about estimated project effectiveness in terms of dollar per pound of Total Phosphorous removed. Engineer Sobiech responded to questions.

Engineer Sobiech discussed possible Lake Riley water quality improvement BMPs. He recommended maintaining Rice Marsh Lake and Lake Susan at the Shallow Lake Standard and an alum treatment on Lake Riley. He said that the estimated cost of the alum treatment on Lake Riley is \$39 per pound of phosphorous. Engineer Sobiech and Administrator Bleser responded to questions.

Engineer Sobiech displayed model data with predictions of each lake's conditions with the implementation of the recommended BMPS for each lake. Based on this data, Engineer Sobiech recommended for Rice Marsh Lake that the District:

- Implement the recommended BMPs: Improve Lake Susan to meet MPCA Shallow Lake Standards, In-lake alum treatment, iron-enhanced sand filter at location RM\_10; iron-enhanced sand filter at location RM\_12;
- Continue to operate the aeration system;
- Take sediment cores in Lake Susan and Rice Marsh Lake to determine alum doses and to refine the cost;
- Do flow and water quality monitoring at proposed BMPs and at major inflow locations; and,
- Confirm current storage volumes in existing ponds.

Engineer Sobiech responded to final questions.

### **Alum Presentation**

Administrator Bleser introduced Joe Bischoff of Wenck Associates to present on Wenck's technical memo on Lake Riley Alum treatment dosage and costs. Administrator Bleser noted that the memo was provided to the Board in August.

Mr. Bischoff presented PowerPoint slides and talked about the objectives behind collecting the sediment cores. He talked about phosphorous (P) and distinguished between loosely-bound P, iron-bound P, labile organic P, and aluminum-bound P. He explained that alum treatment is a process that converts the first three interactive phosphorous fractions in that phosphorous list into the fourth fraction-the aluminum-bound P, which will no longer ever release into the water column.

Mr. Bischoff discussed the approach Wenck used in this project and presented the results. He said that the phosphorous release rate was  $7.6\text{mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$ , which he described as moderately high. Mr. Bischoff reported that the average annual internal load was approximately 336 pounds of phosphorous per year. He reported that the mobile phosphorous in the lake was average. He stated that a lab assay measured the amount of alum needed to reduce mobile phosphorous by 90%, and he talked about the phosphorous-binding benefit to applying the alum in two doses.

Mr. Bischoff presented the cost estimates for the alum treatment and the recommended actions, including:

- The application of alum should be applied in two areas in two half doses as outlined in the presented map at rates of  $92+74\text{gAL}/\text{m}^2$ , ideally in spring due to less vegetation at that time and when water temperatures are greater than 40 degrees F and during clear water stage; and,
- Follow-up monitoring.

Mr. Bischoff commented that based on the Lake Riley and Lake Susan UAA, both of those lakes need alum treatments as well as Rice Marsh Lake. He added that because Rice Marsh Lake discharges to Lake Riley, the alum treatment should occur within two to three years of the Lake Riley treatment. Mr. Bischoff also recommended that the District proceed with a dosing study for Rice Marsh Lake and Lake Susan.

Mr. Bischoff responded to questions.

President Forster stated that this item is an informational item and Administrator Bleser will bring recommendations to the Board at the Board's November meeting.

## **4. Reading and Approval of Minutes**

### **a. September 2, 2015, RPBCWD Board of Managers Public Hearing and Monthly Meeting**

President Forster noted that corrections should be made on pages 3 and 7 to correctly identify Gayle Degler as a Carver County Commissioner. Manager Crafton stated that on page 2 under Approval of the Agenda the words "as amended" were omitted. Manager Bisek requested that on page 10 the minutes reflect the conditions and language insertions that staff read aloud. She said that since the minutes are the official record of the District's meetings, the minutes should include such details. Manager Chadwick remarked that on page 5 the the word "unusual" was omitted and the sentence should read, "in certain unusual circumstances" He also requested that on page 11 under the Manager's Discussion about the Major Plan Amendment that the words "Bluff Creek area" be inserted to correctly identify the project

being discussed. Administrator Bleser recommended bringing the minutes back to the Board's November meeting. Manager Crafton moved to table the minutes. Manager Bisek seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

## 5. Hearing and Discussion of Matters of General Public Interest

President Forster called for comments. No matters were raised.

## 6. Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC)

Ms. Pedersen, CAC President, reported that the TAC's most recent meeting was informational and the group made good progress. CAC Advisor Larry Koch raised his concern that it seems that the CAC's passed resolution regarding requested information about the District's lakes didn't carry enough weight with the Board of Managers. He also remarked that just because the CAC meetings haven't always had a quorum, it doesn't mean that the CAC is not working because it is. There was discussion between CAC members, Board members, and Legal Counsel about Mr. Koch's comments, the CAC's Bylaws, and how the CAC's Bylaws could be revised. Regarding the CAC's Bylaws, Attorney Smith recommended the District continue to follow the procedure that the CAC develop or revise the CAC's Bylaws as it recommends and then the CAC brings those Bylaws to the Board for review.

## 7. Treasurer's Report

Manager Crafton moved to accept the Treasurer's Report as submitted. Manager Yetka seconded the motion.

Manager Bisek raised her concern that on the report's All Funds Performance Analysis some of the numbers seem to be misleading. She said that some of the numbers in the analysis include projects that have funds brought forward from previous years, but because those funds aren't part of the 2015 budget, those funds aren't reflected in the analysis. Manager Bisek stated that this issue leads to the perspective that the District is overspending in some areas even though the next page in the financial report accurately reflects the multi-year projects' budgets. She asked if there is a better way to document the multi-year project budgets on the All Funds Performance Analysis page so that the information is clear. There was discussion. Manager Crafton recommended that she and Administrator Bleser take a look at what could be done and talk to the District's CPA.

Manager Chadwick commented that he is concerned by the treasurer's report disclaimers including: "which differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America" and "We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and accordingly do not express an opinion or provide any assurance about whether the financial statements are in accord with the form prescribed by the watershed district."

Administrator Bleser responded that the District has a third party audit conducted each year. President Forster added that the District is required to annually submit the audit report to the Minnesota State Auditor.

Attorney Smith talked about the statements read aloud by Manager Chadwick and said that the question raised about generally accepted accounting principles is a good one and staff should ask the accountant to explain. Mr. Koch recommended that the Board get the input of its auditor. Manager Crafton recommended that staff talk to the District's CPA about this. Manager Chadwick said that he would like assurance that District is in or will get into compliance with the regulations of governmental agencies.

Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

Manager Crafton moved to approve paying the bills. Manager Bisek seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

## 8. Engineer's Report

Engineer Sobiech reported that regarding the Lake Susan project staff has been working with the construction company to get documentation in place. He said that staff has received all the documentation likely needed from the construction company and the information will go to the District's legal counsel for review. Engineer Sobiech explained that regarding the project timeline, staff expects to seek the notice to proceed at the Board's December meeting.

President Forster asked for a status update on the Purgatory Creek project in Minnetonka. Engineer Sobiech replied that last month the project went in front of the Minnetonka City Council and was approved. He said that the District has submitted the permit application to the Department of Natural Resources as well as the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Manager Crafton moved to accept the Engineer's Report as presented. Manager Yetka seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

## 9. Administrator and Staff Report

### a. MAWD

Administrator Bleser reported that the Minnesota Association of Watershed Districts (MAWD) will have its annual meeting in December and noted that the Board managers have received the meeting and registration materials.

### b. General Permit Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR)

Administrator Bleser announced that the District received its DNR general permit.

### c. Purgatory Creek Restoration Update

Administrator Bleser stated that she has been talking to and working with homeowners.

### d. Annual Communication

Michelle Jordan handed out the draft annual communication and asked for the Board members to review it and provide comments prior to the Board's November meeting.

### e. Eurasian Milfoil in Starring Lake

Administrator Bleser reported on the recent discovery of a low number of Eurasian Milfoil plants discovered in Starring Lake. She talked about the removal and treatment steps taken and described future steps that will be taken

Manager Bisek commended District staff on the AIS (Aquatic Invasive Species) Junior Inspection program. Manager Crafton moved to accept the report as submitted. Manager Chadwick seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

## 10. Board Action

- a. **Consent Items: i. Consent Permit 2015-022 – 8502 Waters Edge Drive, Chanhassen ii. Consent Permit 2015-033 – Cedarcrest Drive Storm Sewer Rehabilitation iii. Consent Permit 2015-036 – Saville West Subdivison-Review Timeline Exentsion, v. Consent Permit 2015-041-Eden Prairie**

**Center, vi. 2015-045 – Purdey Road Ravine Stabilization, vii. 2015-046 – EP Retail**

Manager Chadwick moved to approve the Consent Items. Manager Crafton seconded the vote. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

**b. Variance Requests: 2015-043 Tilia Ridge Storm Sewer; Post Brothers Permit**

Engineer Sobiech stated that this project is a storm sewer rehabilitation that the City of Eden Prairie wants to do. He explained that in order to do the repair, the City wants to excavate the bank back then stabilize the area with rip rap. Engineer Sobiech reported that the proposed project is in compliance with the District's rule requirements for erosion control and buffers. He noted that the storm water requirements don't apply in this case. He said that for the shoreline and streambank stabilization, the project is not in compliance with one aspect of that rule and the City has applied for a variance for the requirement that the slope is 3:1 or flatter at the ordinary high water level. Engineer Sobiech explained that the project is not able to achieve this slope ratio because of the existing topography of the area. He said that in order to meet the requirement, the project would need to cut back into the existing river valley or would need to extend the fill into the creek. He said that for this reason staff recommends approving the variance request.

Manager Yetka moved to approve permit 2015-043 with the variance and in accordance to the conditions recommended by staff. Manager Crafton seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

**c. Post Brothers Permit 2015-014 Modification**

Engineer Sobiech announced that the District received information for a permit modification for permit 2015-014, which is the Purgatory Creek Second Addition. He said that the permit modification request was tied to the storm water management criteria. Engineer Sobiech reminded the Board that in July 2015 the permit applicant presented a plan that fully complied with the District's rules.

He reported that the revised submittal and request for modification is to eliminate three of the four proposed infiltration basins on the site and to provide less abstraction of runoff on the site. Engineer Sobiech explained that the requirement in place for volume abstraction is to abstract 0.55 inches because the site is considered restricted site due to clay soils. He stated that under the current proposal the applicant proposes to abstract 65% of the 0.55 inches, or in terms of volume the applicant proposes to abstract 732 cubic feet of water compared to the abstraction requirement of 1877 cubic feet, in a 48 hour period.

Engineer Sobiech discussed rate control requirements and said that the proposed project meets rate control requirements for discharge leaving from all points. He reported that from the water quality requirement perspective the proposal achieves the total phosphorous reduction requirements at a 71% estimated reduction, which is greater than the 60% required reduction. Engineer Sobiech said that the proposal falls a little short on the total suspended solids reduction, proposing 89% reduction, which is 1% short of the 90% reduction requirement.

Engineer Sobiech pointed out one condition listed in the permit, which is for a maintenance and inspection plan. He summarized the findings and stated that the proposed project does not conform to the volume abstraction requirement or the water quality requirement and based on this information, staff recommends denial.

Mr. Joel Cooper introduced himself. Attorney Smith said that at previous meeting there was a lot of discussion about a storm water pond downstream from the proposed project. Mr. Cooper said that the pond is at about 30% capacity. Attorney Smith clarified that the project hasn't proposed using that pond

to meet these requirements as part of this because the pond is not at its capacity. Mr. Cooper said right, it is easier to meet these conditions onsite.

Attorney Smith said that the Post Brothers legal representative Mr. Malkerson emphasized that this modification was presented as a proposed resolution to the litigation. Attorney Smith recommended that the Board table consideration of this issue for now. He said that there is not a time concern because the District has 60 days and the Board could take action on this at its next meeting if it so wishes. Attorney Smith also recommended that the Board discuss the litigation tonight in closed session.

Manager Chadwick moved to table the permit modification. Manager Yetka seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

**d. Cost-Share Grants**

**i. Single Family Homeowner – Towey Shoreline Restoration and Buffer**

Michelle Jordan announced that the two cost-share grants being discussed this evening are the last two cost-share applications the District is accepting for this year. She explained that this shoreline restoration and buffer project involves 150 feet of shoreline and substantial removal of buckthorn. Ms. Jordan stated that the applicable project cost is \$5,700. She pointed out that the homeowners attended the shoreline restoration workshop that the District held this past spring. Ms. Jordan reported that Seth Bossert designed the project. She stated that staff recommends that this project receives District cost-share funding.

Manager Bisek commented that regarding the proposed plant selection materials, landscape architect/landscape ecologist Fred Rozumalski does not recommend in general using Big Blue Stem on private property due to its growth characteristics.

Manager Bisek moved to approve the Towey Shoreline Restoration and Buffer application for a cost-share grant. Manager Crafton seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

**ii. HOA – Timber Lakes Commons Shoreline Restoration**

Ms. Jordan explained that this proposed project is a rejuvenation of a former restoration project. She said that the Timber Lake Homeowners Association (HOA) received a grant from the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) in 2008 to install this project. Ms. Jordan said that in spring 2014 the area was flooded for at least six weeks, which caused a lot of damage.

Administrator Bleser pointed out that the area was flooded for such a long period of time due to a pipe blockage and the City of Eden Prairie did not make it out to clear to that blockage for many weeks.

President Forster noted that the grant amount requested is \$61,070, and he asked if in the case that the HOA receives funds from the City, would it reduce the amount of the District's grant. Administrator Bleser said that the District's program has always allowed complementary funding. Manager Yetka commented that perhaps there is an opportunity in this project to put in educational signage.

Administrator Bleser announced that there will be a public hearing on this cost-share grant at the Board's November monthly meeting.

**e. Chaska Local Surface Water Management Plan**

President Forster stated that Administrator Bleser is seeking approval of the draft letter to the City of Chaska regarding the District's review of Chaska's Local Surface Water Management Plan.

Administrator Bleser provided background on the review and letter previously sent to the City of Chaska with the District's comments on Chaska's plan. She talked about communications with the City of Chaska about adding the language as written in the draft letter.

Manager Yetka moved to approve the draft letter. Administrator Bleser stated that the action in front of the Board is to approve the adoption of Chaska's Local Surface Water Management Plan with the condition on 3.3.7 with the language as drafted in the letter, which the City has already agreed to, and approve sending the letter. Manager Yetka made the friendly amendment to her motion that the Board approve the Plan with the condition on 3.3.7 with the language drafted in the letter and approve sending the letter. Manager Crafton seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

**f. Office Education Improvement Opportunity**

Administrator Bleser reported that staff has been in discussion with the owner of this building regarding the landscape improvements that the owner wants to undertake. She said she thinks this would be a good opportunity for the District to work with a commercial area and include infiltration, such as a rain garden, and possible native plants. Administrator Bleser noted that the building owner does not want to do more landscape maintenance than the current amount.

Administrator Bleser had a printed copy of draft landscape plans and described the landscape proposal, which included a native plant garden near the District office entrance and two rain gardens. She said that the proposal includes trenching incorporated with the sidewalks to help reduce water running over the sidewalk and posing a hazardous condition in the winter when there are freezing temperatures.

Administrator Bleser went through the plans in more detail.

Administrator Bleser announced that the proposed project received a bid of approximately \$36,000 and the building owner is not assuming that the District will take on all of the costs. She said that the owner plans to cost share with the District and partner in this education opportunity about how commercial buildings can lower landscape maintenance, increase infiltration, and incorporate native plants, which can be a showcase for homeowners who are interested in participating in the District's cost share program. Administrator Bleser commented that the landowner is open to signage. She said that when this project was initially investigated, the owner was looking at putting \$15,000 into the project.

Administrator Bleser responded to question and comments. There was discussion about many facets of the proposed project, including trench installation, involvement of Master Water Stewards, creating demonstration areas as part of this project, installing a cistern, and the level of the District's funding of this project. Administrator Bleser said that the project could be looked at to see if there is space to add a cistern and if there is, consider it for a phase 2 of the project. She said that the District has previously talked about doing a buffer demonstration site and had talked with the City of Chanhassen about it. She reported that nothing has happened to move the buffer demonstration site project forward and there is \$40,000 budgeted for it. Administrator Bleser proposed using funds budgeted for the buffer demonstration site to complement the property owner's funding for this proposed commercial landscape project.

Manager Bisek recommended that staff look at the proposed landscape plan and figure out what best management practices/demonstration projects could be incorporated.

Manager Crafton moved that the District use the \$40,000 budgeted for the buffer demonstration project toward exploring and implementing this proposed landscape plan and incorporating as many low impact development/BMPs as possible. Manager Bisek seconded the motion.

Attorney Smith asked how this project fits with the District's cost share. Administrator Bleser said that the proposed project has components that do fit as a cost share project and components that don't. She said there are benefits to adding more root systems compared to leaving the turf grass. Administrator Bleser reminded the Board and staff that the District's cost share for commercial projects is 50% up to \$20,000.

There was more discussion. Administrator Bleser said that she doesn't yet have the proposed landscape plans in electronic form, but once she receives them she will distribute them to the Board. She added that she can discuss the ideas brought up tonight with the property owner and come back to the Board in November. There was discussion about how late it is in the season for installing plantings.

Manager Yetka moved to amend the motion to approve using funds from the buffer demonstration project budget to implement by November 30 phase 1 of the project plan as it is now and in partnership with the landowner for demonstration purposes and also to identify a phase 2 and additional opportunities that could be implemented in the future and not tied to the November 30 date. President Forster seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion to amend carried 5-0.

Manager Crafton made a friendly amendment to the amended motion that the District use up to \$25,000 of the \$40,000 budgeted for the buffer demonstration project. Manager Bisek accepted the friendly amendment. Upon a vote, the motion carried 4-0 [Manager Chadwick abstained from vote.]

## 11. Manager's Discussion

### a. Major Plan Amendment

Administrator Bleser reminded the Board that they have seen the presentations on the CRAS and alum and she will bring the major plan amendment as an action item at the November Board meeting. Mr. Koch asked if the plan amendment will be drafted prior to the CAC's next meeting because the CAC would like to see it. Administrator Bleser said that she can get it to the CAC.

### b. Permit Fee Structure

Administrator Bleser updated the Board on her research about permit fee structures of other area watershed districts. She pointed out that the fees do vary drastically between districts. Administrator Bleser recommended that the District consider increasing its permit fees and consider setting restrictions on how many full reviews a permit applicant can expect from the District. President Forster asked Administrator Bleser to put the fee information into a spreadsheet. Manager Yetka requested that Administrator Bleser include the District's current fees in the spreadsheet.

### c. Berm Update

Administrator Bleser reported that the City of Eden Prairie's Parks Department is budgeting \$20,000 toward the berm project. She said that at first the City was reluctant to take on the maintenance but now the City is willing to take it on. She remarked that the City's public works director has alluded to the idea that the Technology Drive road slumping near the Purgatory Recreation Area is due to the berm issues. Administrator Bleser said that at this point there is no technical report to support that idea.

Administrator Bleser stated that the public meeting on the District's budget and levy will be part of the Board's November meeting. She said that the berm project was budgeted for \$275,000 and there will be some budget adjustments presented in November. She said that the managers need to discuss the berm project and decide whether or not to move forward with it. Manager Bisek said she thinks it is ridiculous

that it is assumed that the District will pick up the vast majority of expense for a City amenity that has nothing to do with the function of the berm. She said she would not support the District picking up the vast majority of the cost of this project.

Administrator Bleser said she will bring back to the City the District's concerns.

**d. Bluff Creek Update**

Administrator Bleser reported that the City of Chanhassen is taking the lead with acquiring the easements needed for this project.

**e. DNR – Floodplain Mapping Grant**

Administrator Bleser said that the District was approached by the DNR's FEMA group, looking at non-regulatory mapping. She described the mapping and talked about how it would be helpful to homeowners. Administrator Bleser said that a letter of map revision of the District's area would be needed, which would require all of the cities in the District to be on board. She provided more information about undertaking the letter of map revision.

Administrator Bleser said that the District could explore doing a grant with FEMA and DNR to have some money to possibly put Atlas 14 into a map to show where there could be more damages with the more intense rain events. She added that the Board budgeted funds for next year to do this type of work. Administrator Bleser explained that if the District did want these grant funds, the District would need to revise its floodplain and would have to do a letter of map revision. Engineer Sobiech said that this is not a small task and instead is a very large task, both financially and timewise. Administrator Bleser talked about what would be involved in pursuing the map revision.

There was discussion about what agencies are responsible for what tasks regarding mapping.

President Forster stated that he thinks this item needs to come back to the Board with more information.

**f. Upcoming Meeting**

President Forster asked about the start time of the December Board meeting that precedes the Evening with the Watershed. Administrator Bleser noted that the Board's December business meeting will start at 5 p.m.

## 12. Upcoming Events

- Cycle the Creek: Bluff Creek, October 10, 2015, 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.
- Citizen Advisory Committee, October 19, 2015, RPBCWD, 6:30 p.m.
- Winter Parking Lots and Sidewalk Workshop, October 20, 2015, NMCWD, 9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m.
- Winter Roads Workshop, October 22, 2015, RPBCWD, 9:00 a.m. -2:00 p.m.
- Board Meeting, November 4, 2015, RPBCWD, 7:00 p.m.
- Board Meeting and Evening with the Watershed, December 1, 2015, 5:00 p.m., Chanhassen American Legion

Manager Yetka moved that the Board close the public portion of the meeting and move into closed session for a report on the status of the Post Brothers' litigation and salient discussion. Manager Crafton seconded the

motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0. The meeting moved into closed session at 10:44 p.m.

### 13. Adjourn

Manager Chadwick moved to adjourn the meeting. Manager Yetka seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0. The meeting adjourned at 10:52 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Leslie Yetka, Secretary