

MEETING MINUTES

Riley-Purgatory-Bluff Creek Watershed District

August 3, 2016, Board of Managers Budget Workshop and Monthly Meeting

PRESENT:

Managers: Mary Bisek, Secretary

Richard Chadwick

Jill Crafton, Treasurer

Perry Forster, President

Leslie Yetka, Vice President

Staff: Claire Bleser, District Administrator

Michelle Jordan, District Technician and Compliance Officer

Josh Maxwell, District Technician and Compliance Officer

Louis Smith, Attorney (Smith Partners)

Scott Sobiech, Engineer (Barr Engineering Company)

Other attendees: Robert Adomaitis, CAC

Matt Lindon, CAC

Brock Austin, Shorewood Resident**

Sharon McCotter, CAC**

Zachary Dickson, RPBCWD

Dorothy Pedersen, CAC**

Larry Koch, CAC

Rod Rue, City of Eden Prairie*

Laurie Hable, CAC

Rich Simpson, Shorewood Resident

Pete Iversen, CAC

Laurie Susla, CAC

*Budget Workshop Only

Greg Wilson, Barr Engineering Company**

** Monthly Meeting Only

David Ziegler, CAC

1. Call to Order

President Forster called the Wednesday, August 3, 2016, Board of Managers Budget Workshop and Monthly Meeting to order at 5:15 p.m. in the Heritage Rooms 3 and 4 at the Eden Prairie City Hall, 8080 Mitchell Road, Eden Prairie, MN 55344.

2. Oath of Office

President Forster announced that Hennepin County reappointed Leslie Yetka to the role of Riley Purgatory Bluff Creek Watershed District Board Manager last Thursday, so Attorney Smith will conduct the oath of office to swear in Manager Yetka for the new term. Attorney Smith conducted the oath of office, and Manager Yetka was sworn in as manager for the term.

3. Budget Workshop

President Forster described the District's budget development process and timeline. The information was displayed on the wall screen as well. He explained that this draft budget document has been worked on for a long time and tonight's workshop is the first time that it is being presented for review and discussion. He said that by August 15th there will be a second draft of the budget and the CAC will be able to comment on the budget and Administrator Bleser will be at the CAC's meeting to answer questions. President Forster stated that on September 7th the District will hold a public hearing and at its conclusion, the Board will adopt a work plan and budget for 2017. He said that by September 15th the District will submit a certification and levy notice to Hennepin and Carver counties. He explained that on November 2nd the managers will have a final opportunity to review the budget and the public will have another opportunity to comment on the budget. At this time the managers will have the option to keep its budget numbers as they stand or to lower them.

Administrator Bleser handed out the draft proposed budget and an accompaniment to the budget that contained additional details. She pointed out the columns, including the 2015 actual budget. She noted that the Treasurer's Report contains the 2016 actual expenditures. She said that she is proposing a 15.2% increase for the 2017 budget over the 2016 budget, which would bring the 2017 budget and levy to \$2,859,000.

Administrator Bleser went through the budget line items and pointed out that the proposed 2017 budget would include increases in the audit, insurance and bonds, dues and publications, and office costs. She said the proposed budget includes decreases in the Advisory Committee budget by \$500 and in the permit review and inspection line item by \$10,000.

She went into detail about the proposed \$159,500 increase in office costs for 2017 over 2016. Administrator Bleser explained that she proposes adding two new positions to District staff: a conservation technician and a permit/commercial/local government coordinator. She talked about the anticipated responsibilities of the new staff and about how some tasks that the District currently outsources could be brought in-house and handled through the addition of this staff.

Administrator Bleser discussed the proposed 2017 budgets for the District's programs, including a proposed \$50,000 increase to the cost-share project budget. She noted that the District's Technical Advisory Committee has been advocating for a higher threshold of available funds for cost-share projects. Administrator Bleser talked about the proposed new line item for District Groundwater Assessment. She said that the District hasn't done any monitoring of ground water since the 1990s and this budget would help the District to pinpoint what is happening with ground water in the watershed.

She went through the proposed budget for each of the District's projects, noting the \$15,000 increase in budget over 2016 for the Lake Riley Eurasian Watermilfoil treatment. Administrator Bleser explained that the increase is due to the cost of the herbicide that staff is recommending. She talked about the new line item Rice Marsh Lake Water Quality Improvement - Feasibility Phase 1. She went into detail about the line item Riley Creek Restoration (Reaches E and D3) and noted that it is a big budget item at \$700,000, which would cover design and possibly the start of the work. Administrator Bleser said that the District would likely need to budget additional funds for this project in 2018.

Administrator Bleser talked about the \$20,000 budget for the Purgatory Creek Recreation Area - berm/retention area - feasibility design and commented that the District anticipates that the City of Eden Prairie will be partnering on this project. She addressed the line item for the Hyland Lake UAA and noted that the most recent UAA for this

lake was done in 2004 so it is in need of an update. She touched on the budgets for the Red Rock Lake Plant Management, the Silver Lake Restoration Feasibility Phase 1, and the Staring Lake Plant Management. She pointed out the contingency budget of \$100,000.

Administrator Bleser handed out a table breaking down the payable property tax and its implications for property owners. She said that the proposed 2017 budget would mean a \$7 tax increase to property owners. Administrator Bleser also pointed out that the handout lists the annual budgets of other metro area watershed districts, and she noted that the RPBCWD has the most conservative budget out of those highlighted.

President Forster opened the floor to questions and comments from managers. Several managers spoke in favor of the proposed additional District staff positions and for bringing in-house work that is currently outsourced as well as bringing in clerical assistance to the staff.

President Forster commented in support of increasing the cost share budget and asked if it would be possible to spread the proposed 2017 budget for the Riley Creek Restoration over a couple of years.

Administrator Bleser remarked that the project is estimated to need additional funding in 2018 of \$500,000. She talked about the estimated project cost and the difficult site conditions. Engineer Sobiech said that the proposed project is estimated to start work in 2017 and complete work in 2018 but there is a possibility to spread that out over a longer period. President Forster asked if this project could possibly receive grant funds. Administrator Bleser responded that the Clean Water Fund grants applications are due at this end of this week but this could be a possibility for the 2017 application process. Administrator Bleser said that there might be funds available through Hennepin County's natural resource grant and there are still funds available through this grant for this year. She said that the Lower Minnesota River Watershed District was interested in partnering, and she could follow up on possible sources of funding support. She reminded the Board that this area of the creek is listed as impaired and time is of the essence because there is potential for bigger problems the longer it takes to address the problems.

There was discussion of the remaining 2016 budget for this project and the timing of the levies. There was discussion about the repair and maintenance budget and the need for a format to fairly distribute those funds across all of the local governmental units.

President Forster opened the floor to questions and comments from the public.

Mr. Koch commented that this budget format is terrific and is a tremendous improvement over the previous format. He said that he would find it helpful if the budget format expanded slightly to include proposed expenditures and to include in that column everything that the District anticipates expending and to include a column that indicates anticipated grant funds, a column that indicates funds remaining from prior years, and also a column that shows the difference between these numbers, which would then indicate the number needed for District to levy for that year.

President Forster said that the District does not know whether or not it will be awarded grants, so the District budgets for the estimated project costs and then looks to grants to help reduce the District's project costs. Administrator Bleser remarked on how it isn't known in advance if staff will have time to apply to all the different grants. She commented that the Treasurer's Report includes multi-year tracking and staff could take that table and add it to the budget sheet if that would help. She talked further about the budgeting for multi-year projects.

President Forster asked that staff pin down a little more the proposed 2017 budget for the Riley Creek Restoration project and to bring it back to the Board at the September meeting. Mr. Koch commented on the amount of work

slated for this reach and said that his question is what, if anything, could be done upstream of this proposed creek reach project and what impact would it have on future erosion at that reach.

Mr. Koch commented in favor of the District hiring clerical help, even part time, because at Administrator Bleser's pay rate, having her fulfill document requests is not a fair use of her time. He asked staff for a breakdown of the proposed 2017 \$75,000 budget for the Aquatic Invasive Species Inspection and Early Detection. Administrator Bleser explained that the proposed budget includes \$50,000 for inspections and \$25,000 for rapid response.

Mr. Koch remarked that Lotus Lake has submitted a communication to the District about Curlyleaf Pondweed, and he asked that the Board to consider having a project on that before it gets to be a problem like it is at Staring Lake and some other District lakes.

Administrator Bleser explained that staff did look into Curlyleaf pondweed in Lotus Lake. She said that a 2014 report from the University of Minnesota concluded that there was no need to prepare a plant management plan specifically for Lotus Lake and that there was no action needed to control Curlyleaf pondweed on that lake. Administrator Bleser pointed out that Lotus Lake will be on the rotation for 2017 for the plant survey, which will include an early-season and late-season plant survey. She noted that the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources is the keeper of plant management plans and based on the University of Minnesota study, the District does not have supporting data to say there needs to be a plant management plan for Lotus Lake. She said that after the plant survey, the District will re-evaluate whether further action is needed for Lotus Lake.

Manager Bisek asked for more details on the proposed Lotus Lake Feasibility Phase 1, and Administrator Bleser explained that it will look at the feasibility of stabilizing the ravines on the west side of Lotus Lake and look at the external loading into the lake.

Administrator Bleser responded to a question about how new projects will be incorporated into the updated 10-year plan.

Mr. Rue commented that he is very concerned about the Purgatory Creek Rec Area's Berm/retention area feasibility/design proposed budget, which is \$20,000 for 2017. He said that the berm is already failing, and he suggested that the District try to find money this year for design and do the construction next year. Administrator Bleser responded that she did talk with the City of Eden Prairie today about the feasibility and design with the understanding that the District has contingency funds available that could be used if the District and the City of Eden Prairie reach a point of partnership in a bigger capacity than what was previously proposed in 2015. There was a discussion about the project and the necessary repairs. Manager Bisek remarked that her concern is that perhaps the District is being asked to repair a recreational trail, which she does not think is the District's responsibility. There was discussion about the berm and the trail. Mr. Rue noted that in the original construction of the project, the District paid 100% for the construction of the dike and the City paid for 50% of the trail.

President Forster said that this is a budget item that the District can look at again.

Mr. Adomaitis asked about the reduction for the proposed 2017 budget for the Permit Review and Inspection. Administrator Bleser explained that the reduction is based on the estimate that there will be a reduction in 2017 costs because the new staff person will take on some of the work previously performed by the District Engineer. Mr. Adomaitis inquired about the remaining Education and Outreach funds in the District's 2016 budget. Administrator Bleser noted that budgeted items not yet billed include signage and two rounds of Master Water Stewards funding.

There was additional discussion of future steps for the Riley Creek Restoration project for reaches E and D3.

President Forster summarized that there are four budget items that need to be reviewed again by staff to provide further recommendations: the Riley Creek Restoration project, the Purgatory Creek Recreation Area Berm/Retention Area, the addition of clerical help for the District staff, and a line on the budget that shows funds that will be rolled over from previous years.

Manager Chadwick thanked Administrator Bleser and Manager Crafton for their work on the budget worksheet. President Forster thanked everyone for their questions and comments.

President Forster adjourned the Budget Workshop at 6:50 p.m.

4. Approval of the Agenda

President Forster convened the monthly meeting at 7:08 p.m. and called for any change requests to the meeting agenda. Administrator Bleser requested the removal of items 8D1 ó permit 2016-026 Foxwood Development and 8D2 ó permit 2016-014 Chanhassen Chick-Fil-A from the Consent Agenda and to make them Action Items C and D to be sure that staff's understanding of the District's policies are in-line with the Board's.

Mr. Koch said he had questions on the Engineer's report. President Forster responded that he researched in Robert's Rules of Order the procedure for making agenda changes and he found that the only ones who can remove something from the agenda are not audience members but rather the managers. Mr. Koch responded that the Board is not going to consider his request and he wants it to be on the record that the Board refused his request. President Forster said that he had researched the process in Robert's Rules of Order and this is the procedure and he acted in error previously but he wanted to be consistent going forward. Manager Bisek suggested that the Board develop a mechanism for the public to ask questions. President Forster said yes, perhaps not at tonight's meeting because the Board will need to think about it and consider precedents.

Manager Crafton moved to approve the agenda as amended. Manager Yetka seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

5. Silver Lake Paleolimnological Study

Administrator Bleser announced that Dr. Edlund was unable to attend tonight's meeting and so Administrator Bleser will be presenting the study. She stated that he would be able to attend the September monthly meeting if the Board needs him to come to respond to questions, but if she is able to answer all of tonight's questions, then Dr. Edlund will not need to come to the next meeting.

Administrator Bleser, presenting PowerPoint slides, described the study's purpose and process. She explained that Silver Lake is labeled impaired for total phosphorous and that the Paleolimnological study was a way to determine if the productivity of the lake changed over time and if so, what was the timing of the change(s). She talked about changes to Silver Lake over time due to impacts from post-settlement agriculture and suburban development.

Administrator Bleser went into detail about the collection of the core samples, the sediment composition, and the conclusions that can be drawn from this data, such as that the sedimentation rate peaked in the early 2000s and that sedimentation to the lake is now largely driven by organic matter. She fielded questions. Manager Yetka asked how this information will be used to inform management decisions. Administrator Bleser responded that it is important to understand the trends and that the District now knows through this study that sediment is coming into the lake and so the District will want to stop these sources of sediment.

Mr. Koch asked if the District has conducted Paleolimnological studies on other lakes in the watershed. Administrator Bleser responded yes. Mr. Koch requested a copy of the other studies, and Administrator Bleser said that she would provide those to him.

Administrator Bleser pointed out that the District's current 10-year plan states that the District wants Silver Lake to meet the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency's (MPCA) shallow lakes standards, but now the MPCA is identifying Silver Lake as a wetland. There was discussion about the new identification of the lake as a wetland.

6. Purgatory Creek Watershed UAA Study

Administrator Bleser introduced Greg Wilson of Barr Engineering to present on the Purgatory Creek Use Attainability Analysis (UAA). She explained that the UAA looks at the lakes in the Purgatory Creek Watershed and determines what needs to be done to improve the watershed. Ms. Pedersen requested a copy of the presentation, and Administrator Bleser said that she would get to her a PDF of the presentation.

Mr. Wilson said that the UAA is a broader restoration and protection study for the Purgatory Creek watershed within the District and that tonight he will present the goals and the draft findings of the study. He explained that the study looked at Purgatory Creek and the Purgatory Creek watershed's eight lakes, including Silver Lake, Idlewild Lake, Mitchell Lake, Red Rock Lake, Staring Lake, Duck Lake, Lotus Lake, and Round Lake. Mr. Wilson stated that the study incorporated previous studies of this watershed and accounted for management activities to bring the previous studies up to date. Mr. Wilson said that the ultimate goal of the study was to build a comprehensive report that will inform the District for its Capital Improvement Project planning process.

Mr. Wilson highlighted the standards criteria for the watershed's eight lakes and noted that the District is in the process of an adaptive management approach. He went into detail about the study's findings for the eight lakes and Purgatory Creek, including information about secchi disk readings, chlorophyll and total phosphorous levels, phosphorus budgets, recommended phosphorous reduction goals, and management recommendations.

Mr. Wilson responded to questions.

7. Matters of General Public Interest

No matters were raised.

8. Reading and Approval of Minutes

a. June 27, 2016, RPBCWD Board of Managers Monthly Meeting and Public Hearing

President Forster requested that the minutes reflect that he asked Attorney Smith for clarification on the Board's possible courses of action toward the request from the public to amend the agenda.

Administrator Bleser asked for a correction to the minutes to properly reflect that under agenda item 7, Manager Chadwick's comment that the proposed small group that would meet to discuss the proposed budget format includes a CAC representative came prior to the motion. She also asked that the minutes include a sentence to reflect that Manager Crafton recommended that the small group of Board members and Administrator meet first to discuss the proposed budget format and then the group could have a discussion with the CAC about the proposed budget format. Manager Crafton pointed out spelling corrections in two places.

Manager Crafton moved to accept the minutes as amended. Manager Chadwick seconded the motion.
Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

9. Consent Agenda

President Forster read aloud the Consent Agenda items: a. Accept Engineer's Report; b. Accept Staff Report; c. Approve Permit Review Extension; d. Liability Coverage of League of Minnesota Cities; e. Authorize Administrator to Approve Change Order not to exceed 10% of the contract amount for Purgatory Creek restoration project at 101.

Manager Yetka moved to accept the Consent Agenda as read aloud by President Forster. Manager Chadwick seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

10. Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC)

President Forster asked if there were any questions or clarifications regarding the CAC meeting minutes included in the meeting packet. President Forster commented that he had attended that meeting and the minutes seem an accurate reflection of the meeting. No other comments or questions were raised.

11. Treasurer's Report

Manager Crafton reported that the Administrator reviewed the invoices and recommended payment. Manager Crafton said that she concurs with the Administrator's recommendation. Manager Crafton moved to pay the bills. Manager Bisek seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion to pay the bills carried 5-0.

Manager Crafton moved to accept the Treasurer's Report as submitted. Manager Bisek seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

12. Action Items

a. Plan Amendments

Administrator Bleser said that the Board has in front of it a draft plan amendment for Chanhassen High School capture and reuse project and for the Eden Prairie Fire Station 2 capture and reuse system. She said that these two projects were awarded grants from the Metropolitan Council. She said that if the Board takes action to move forward with these two proposed plan amendments, the District would forward them to the plan review agencies for the 45-day review and comment period, and the District would need to schedule an October public hearing on the proposed amendments. President Forster asked for a motion to authorize the Administrator to send the draft plan amendments out for the 45-day review and to schedule the October public hearing.

Manager Bisek moved to authorize the District Administrator to distribute the draft plan amendments regarding CIP 7.48.5 City of Chanhassen Storm water Capture and Reuse System and 4.48.6 City of Eden Prairie Fire Station 2 water harvesting and reuse to the reviewing agencies for review and comment and to schedule the public hearing. Manager Crafton seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

b. Redpath Engagement Letter

Administrator Bleser pointed out that the engagement letter from Redpath and Company is included in the meeting packet. She explained that the costs described in the letter include the fee for the 2016 audit and

the GASB68 requirements but does not reflect the additional cost of a presentation to the Board, which would be approximately \$500. President Forster recommended that the Board take action on the information it has and then it can take action on adding a Redpath and Company presentation at a future meeting. Manager Crafton moved to accept the proposal from Redpath and Company for the 2016 audit at a cost of \$13,120 plus the GASB68 preparation at a cost of \$515. Manager Yetka seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

c. Permit 2016-026 Foxwood Development

Engineer Sobiech explained that there is something unique about this site as it relates to the District's water body crossing rule. He said that the District's rule discusses preserving existing wildlife passage along each bank of the riparian area as approved by a qualified wildlife biologist. Engineer Sobiech noted that staff has been experiencing that the applicants aren't providing to the District information from certified wildlife biologists. He said that in front of the Board is staff's interpretation of the rule and the application of staff's opinion on the wildlife passage, which is not information from a wildlife biologist.

Engineer Sobiech pointed out that this is a policy question regarding the Board's intent when it designed and approved the rule. Attorney Smith added that the provision being discussed is not unique to this watershed and it is consistent with Department of Nature Resources permitting requirements. He said that many watersheds have found that in practice applicants don't find the resources or expertise to address the wildlife crossing questions and instead make the best judgements based on their experience.

Attorney Smith said that the District staff wanted to point this out and note that this is an ongoing issue. He said that this is an example of staff exercising some discretion in the reasonable application of the District's rules and staff wanted the Board to be aware of this requirement in the rules and that staff is experiencing some difficulty in having it addressed.

Engineer Sobiech provided more details about permit 2016-026 and that the applicant is proposing to put in a roadway over a wetland. He noted that the wetland is more of a drainage way that got classified as a wetland. Manager Bisek asked if the District needs to change the wording in this rule since it seems that most applicants aren't able to meet the requirement of obtaining information from a wildlife biologist. Attorney Smith recommends that for now the Board continues addressing this issue on a case by case basis and considers it at such a time when the Board considers rules updates.

Manager Crafton moved to approve permit 2016-026 with caveat that the Board notes these exceptions on a case by case basis. Manager Yetka asked if Manger Crafton would remove the second part of the motion. Manager Crafton said yes, she would. Manager Yetka seconded the motion to approve permit 2016-026. Manager Chadwick commented that he thinks there are certain extenuating circumstances here to indicate that the rule does not apply to this exact situation and therefore he would agree to approve the permit. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

d. Permit 2016-014 Chanhassen Chick-Fil-A

Engineer Sobiech noted that this applicant has several restrictions on its site, such as high ground water. He explained that this means the site has no way of providing surface infiltration or extraction. He said there is the potential for contaminated soils on this site as well, so there is concern about doing extraction from any type of infiltration or promotion of water into the soil. Engineer Sobiech reported that the applicant had a restricted site analysis performed, which is highlighted in the report in front of the Board. He said that he concurs with the report results that indicate that the maximum extent practicable is zero for extraction. Engineer Sobiech noted that this raises another policy question, which is to make sure that staff

is interpreting maximum extent practicable similar as the Board's intent.

President Forster asked for comments on this from Legal Counsel. Attorney Smith stated that the District's legal counsel has been in active discussion with the Engineer about this issue. Attorney Smith said that it is Counsel's legal view that in this case the District Engineer has the legal leeway to determine that the maximum extent practicable in terms of infiltration is no infiltration. Attorney Smith said that the District's rules seek that when there is a restricted site situation, the best that can be done is what is done. He said that in the case of this site, Legal Counsel believes that the Engineer is authorized make the determination that in this case, the best that can be done is zero extraction.

Staff responded to questions. Engineer Sobiech mentioned that with all of the coordination by staff with this permit, the cost of the permit review exceed the threshold and pushed the cost into the excess cost provision. He said that staff recommends the District recover the excess costs of \$2,428 from the applicant in order to cover the extra engineering and legal time involved in this permit review. Manager Crafton asked if monitoring could be set up for this site. Engineer Sobiech responded that monitoring could be considered and that the site could be monitored from a water quality perspective because the outfall is very conducive to monitoring.

Manager Crafton moved to approve permit 2016-014 with the conditions recommended by staff, which includes the condition to authorize staff to bill HR Green and Inc. for the excess permit review costs of \$2,428. Manager Chadwick seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

13. Discussion Items

a. Bluff Creek Update

Administrator Bleser reported that this project is moving slowly, but it is progressing.

b. 10-Year Plan Update

Administrator Bleser stated that the workshop participants were sent an email asking them if their comments were accurately captured and categorized. She reported that staff recently completed the summary of results from the stakeholder meeting. She said that she has a draft memo that she will send out to the Board for review.

c. TMDL Update – Silver Lake: wetland, shallow lake designation

Administrator Bleser displayed a PowerPoint slide with a table that listed how the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) defines shallow lakes and wetlands. She described the characteristics of Silver Lake and talked about the process that staff went through to determine if Silver Lake's characteristics fit more into the definition of shallow lake or wetland. She reported that through this process staff found that Silver Lake had more characteristics of a wetland. Administrator Bleser said that staff presented this information to the MPCA. Administrator Bleser reported that the MPCA wetland specialist reviewed the information and determined that Silver Lake is a wetland. She said that the District will need to have a wetland protection plan in place as part of its 10-year plan. Administrator Bleser said the District will need to consider and determine its goals for Silver Lake. She noted that this waterbody has wild rice and because of that component, there are restrictions and requirements that come into play such as the District will need to monitor for sulfates.

There was long discussion of wetlands standards and the District's project prioritization process.

d. Office Space Update

Administrator Bleser reported on actions that the landlord is taking regarding gathering information about reconfiguring space of the studio next door and the space east of the studio. Attorney Smith reminded the Board that it had set a deadline of tonight to make a decision on whether or not to move to a different office space. He said that it would be reasonable for the District to communicate to the landlord that the District needs that information regarding the studio retrofit by the District's next meeting so that at the meeting the Board can make a decision on whether or not to move. Attorney Smith also remarked that there would need to be a very detailed written agreement about the understanding of what is being achieved and include technical input about the sound.

Manager Bisek moved that the Board at its September meeting evaluate the information and make a decision on whether or not to move from the current office space. Manager Chadwick seconded the motion. Upon a vote, the motion carried 5-0.

e. Governance Manual

Administrator Bleser reported that a draft should be ready for review by the September meeting.

f. Contribute Financially to Freshwater Society to Develop Policies and/or Ordinance to Control Chlorides Usage on Hard Surfaces

Administrator Bleser explained that the Freshwater Society approached the District to see if it would partner and contribute financially to their developing ordinances on chloride usage on hard surfaces and to work with cities to adopt the ordinances. She said that the Freshwater Society asked if the District would contribute \$3,000. Administrator Bleser said that these funds are available in the District's Education and Outreach budget.

Attorney Smith noted that Smith Partners is the firm that has been working with the Freshwater Society to develop the ordinances.

Manager Crafton moved that the District support the Freshwater Society's efforts to develop the chloride usage ordinances at a cost to the District of \$3,000 from its Education and Outreach budget. Manager Bisek seconded the motion. President Forster noted that Manager Yetka is recusing herself from the vote because she works for the Freshwater Society. Upon a vote, the motion carried 4-0 [Manager Yetka abstained from vote].

g. Upcoming Meetings

President Forster pointed out that upcoming meetings are listed on tonight's meeting agenda. He said that if members of the CAC are interested in going to the Clean Water Summit in September then they should contact Administrator Bleser.

14. Upcoming Events

- CAC Meeting, August 15, 6:30 p.m., District Office
- Board Workshop, 10-year plan, August 17, 2016, 5:00 p.m., District Office
- Minnesota River Tour (NEMO), August 31, 3:30 p.m., CHS in Savage
- Public Hearing and Regular Board Meeting, Wednesday, September 7, 2016, District Office
- Clean Water Summit, Thursday, September 22, 2016, "Rethinking Redevelopment and Retrofits," Minnesota Landscape Arboretum

15. Adjourn

Manager Chadwick moved to adjourn the meeting. Manager Yetka seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 9:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Bisek, Secretary