MEETING MINUTES

Riley-Purgatory-Bluff Creek Watershed District

November 2, 2022, RPBCWD Board of Managers Work Session and Regular Monthly Meeting

PRESENT:

Managers: Jill Crafton, Treasurer*

Tom Duevel*

Larry Koch, Vice President*
Dorothy Pedersen, Secretary*
David Ziegler, President*

Staff: Amy Bakkum, Administrative Assistant

Zach Dickhausen, Water Resources Technician II

Liz Forbes, Grant Program Coordinator*
Terry Jeffery, District Administrator*

Eleanor Mahon, Education and Outreach Coordinator

Joshua Maxwell, Water Resources Coordinator*

Mat Niklay, Natural Resources Technician Louis Smith, Attorney, Smith Partners*

Scott Sobiech, Engineer, Barr Engineering Company*

Michael Welch, Attorney, Smith Partners

Other Attendees: Steve Christopher, BWSR Jenny Mocol-Johnson, BWSR

Greg Hawks Maya Santamaria

Terry Jorgenson

*Indicates attendance at both the workshop and the monthly meeting

Note: the Board workshop and meeting were held remotely via meeting platform Zoom because it was deemed not prudent to meet in person due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. 5:00 p.m. Work Session

1 2

3

President Ziegler called the Board work session to order at 5:00 p.m. Administrator Jeffery called the roll for the Board of Managers as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Present

Duevel	Present
Koch	Present
Pedersen	Present
Ziegler	Present

Manager Koch stated it is his understanding President Ziegler has decided that in light of the continued pandemic it is best to hold this meeting via Zoom and not in person. President Ziegler agreed with Manager Koch's statement.

a. Presentation of PRAP Report and Findings

Ms. Jenny Mocol-Johnson of the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR)

presented BWSR's draft 2022 Organizational Assessment, which is a report of BWSR's local government unit review [BWSR's PRAP – Performance Review and Assistance Program] of the Riley Purgatory Bluff Creek Watershed District. Ms. Mocol-Johnson said she shared the link to the draft report with District staff and the Board.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson summarized key findings, including commending the District for

Ms. Mocol-Johnson summarized key findings, including commending the District for building partnerships, implementing projects, and investing in staff and programs to increase community engagement and monitoring efforts. She reported that the results of the performance standards checklist indicate the District is compliant with 20 of 20 basic performance standards. She explained performance standards are categorized into either basic standards or high-performance standards, and the basic standards are the items the District is statutorily required to complete.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson said any basic performance standards not met would result in an action item, but the District meets all basic standards, and therefore has no action items to address. She reported the District is accomplishing everything it is statutorily required to accomplish.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson highlighted the resource outcomes section of the assessment, stating she is never seen as large of a list of projects as the RPBCWD's list, so she can clearly see the District has been busy. She summarized that the District's adopted 2018-2027 Management Plan contains 69 action items, 65 of which are ongoing, one that isn't started, and three that have been completed.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson stated the District is commended for meeting 10 out of 12 high performance standards. She pointed out she is never seen any organization meet all 12 high performance standards.

Manager Koch reiterated the commendations for staff, managers, and partners for helping the District accomplish all the basic standards and the majority of the high performance standards. He asked if the District will be providing comments to BWSR about the report before it is finalized. Ms. Mocol-Johnson said there is a placeholder in the report and

38 there is a place in the appendices for the comments the District provides to BWSR. She 39 said BWSR would appreciate receiving a comment letter from the District. 40 Ms. Mocol-Johnson said BWSR has four recommendations for the District. Manager 41 Crafton asked if the District is required to address the recommendations. Ms. Mocol-42 Johnson said action items are required, but the District has no action items. She said after 43 a two- to three-year period, BWSR will come back to the District to see what it has 44 accomplished based on the recommendations BWSR provided. She explained BWSR 45 will check to see if the District has done what BWSR recommended and that information 46 will go into BWSR's legislative report. 47 Ms. Mocol-Johnson went into a more detailed review of the draft report. She described 48 the four parts of the organizational assessment, including: 49 Part 1: Evaluation of the progress made by water management entities toward goals 50 stated in their approved and adopted local water management plans. 51 Part 2: Review of the entities' adherence to Level I and Level II standards as directed by 52 statutes, policies, and guidelines via a performance standards certification checklist. 53 Part 3: Board member and staff surveys as well as partner surveys to assess internal and 54 external perceptions of performance, communication, partnerships, and delivery of 55 conservation programs and customer service. Part 4: Wetlands Conservation Act (WCA) spot check to evaluate WCA program 56 57 performance and delivery. 58 59 Ms. Mocol-Johnson commended the District for showing strong investment in staff and 60 programs, as is apparent in the District's community engagement efforts and monitoring 61 program. She described a few of the commendations about the District meeting basic 62 performance standards. She highlighted that the District completes the required annual 63 reports, maintains an updated water management plan, and keeps a dedicated website up 64 to date on projects and programs. Ms. Mocol-Johnson said the District's new website is 65 really good and congratulated the District on the nice work done on the new website. 66 Ms. Mocol-Johnson shared the commendations about some of the high-performance 67 standards the District is meeting, such as monitoring hydrologic trends and maintaining 68 cooperative partnerships. 69 Ms. Mocol-Johnson said regarding the assessment's Part 1, evaluating progress made 70 toward the goals in the District's Plan, the District is accomplishing the 65 ongoing items 71 the District identified in its plan. She said the context of the language of how the action 72 item is written in the Plan identifies these items as ongoing action items. She commented 73 the District is accomplishing a lot of work and a lot of the District's work is ongoing with 74 those 65 action items.

Regarding Part 2 of the organizational assessment, Ms. Mocol-Johnson highlighted the

District's high achievements including:

75

77 Tracking progress for information and education objectives in Plan **78** Coordination with County, SWCD, City and Township officials **79** Partnerships: cooperative projects/tasks with neighboring organizations, 80 such as counties, SWCDs, WDs, tribal governments, Non-Government 81 Organizations 82 Water quality trends tracked for key water bodies 83 Watershed hydrologic trends monitored / reported 84 85 Ms. Mocol-Johnson presented a summary of Part 3 of the organizational assessment, 86 which included internal and external surveys. She reported that for the internal survey, 87 BWSR received seven responses, which was about a 60% response rate. She said the 88 respondents listed many projects and programs the respondents thought particularly successful over the past few years. She said she has not seen such a long list previously. 89 90 She said the list clearly shows the District is doing a lot of great projects and great work. 91 Ms. Mocol-Johnson shared that for the external survey, she received 20 responses out of 92 37 invited to participate, which is about a 54% response rate. Manager Koch asked for 93 suggestions on how the District could get ideas about how to get more specific 94 information from partners about issues and about improvements the District could make. 95 Ms. Mocol-Johnson suggested the District consider a customer service survey. 96 Ms. Mocol-Johnson went through the general conclusions of the organizational 97 assessment of the District. She reviewed the commendations based on the achievement of 98 BWSR's high performance standards and called out that these practices reflect above 99 average operational effectiveness and level of effort. She highlighted the following commendations about the RPBCWD: 100 101 Administrator on staff 102 Staff training: orientation and continuing education plan and record for 103 each staff 104 Operational guidelines for fiscal procedures and conflicts of interest exist and are current 105 106 Coordination with County Board, SWCD Board, City/Township officials 107 Meeting the high-performance standards identified in Appendix B of the 108 Organizational Assessment 109 110 Ms. Mocol-Johnson stated BWSR has four recommendations for the District. She noted 111 the intention of the recommendations is to enhance the District's delivery of effective 112 water and related land resource management and service to the residents of the 113 watershed. She went through the four recommendations:

a. Engage in mediated discussions with a third party to ensure both the Board and staff address issues that adversely affect the organization.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson stated multiple survey comments indicated efficiencies due to confusion of Board roles and responsibilities. She noted staff and partners indicated frustration about lack of trust, micromanagement, and processes taking excessively long. She recommended the District hire a mediator to evaluate processes and working relationships and said BWSR has grant funding available to support the implementation of this recommendation.

b. Evaluate options of increased efficiencies at Board meetings.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson reported the survey responses indicated inefficiencies in Board meetings. She said comments received stated that Board meetings were unnecessarily long and a poor use of resources as both staff and consultants attend. Ms. Mocol-Johnson recommended establishing processes to aid in efficiencies. She said tactics the District could consider implementing include assigning a time for each agenda item and tracking the meeting to that time, being clear and concise when presenting items, and clarifying the intent of the items discussed and staying on the task at hand.

c. Conduct a strategic assessment to evaluate the mission, vision, and establish goals and priorities for the future.

Ms. Mocol Johnson said this recommendation is based on the two high performance standards the District is not meeting. She stated initiating a strategic assessment exercise would give staff and Board members the opportunity to review and evaluate whether the existing mission and vision are relevant or if changes are needed. Ms. Mocol-Johnson said the strategic assessment would give the District the chance to create an organizational roadmap by establishing programmatic goals and setting short- and long-term priorities.

d. Establish new and improved working relationships with partners.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson said partner responses indicated communication, contact and being more available and present were potential areas of improvement for the District. She noted internal survey responses indicated the possibility of becoming more involved in partner cities' planning processes, which would enable the District to become more proactive instead of reactive.

Page **5** of **27**

Ms. Mocol-Johnson said RPBCWD Board members and staff have been invited to comment on the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the draft organizational assessment report. She said the District's comment letter will be included as Appendix E in the final report. President Ziegler asked when she would like to receive the letter. Ms. Mocol-Johnson said by the end of the calendar year because she would like to finalize the report by the end of the calendar year. She said a summary of BWSR's recommendations list included in the final organizational report will be included in the legislative report.

Ms. Mocol-Johnson said regarding the WCA report summary and recommendations, under the Administration section of the report, BWSR directed the District to execute an updated resolution delegating WCA decision-making authority to staff.

Administrator Jeffery said the resolution to delegate that authority will be on the agenda for the District's December Board of Managers meeting.

Mr. Steve Christopher commented he has worked in seven different counties, including five metro counties. He said that over the last nine years, the RPBCWD is routinely among the top of all watersheds he works with in terms of the process of identification through completion of all implementation of programs and projects. Mr. Christopher said the District's programs and projects are always backed in science, and the District has some of the best staff available both on staff within the District as well as within the District's consulting services.

b. Contract Retainage

Administrator Jeffery said the Board has had previous questions about whether the District's retainage policy is protecting the District to the greatest extent possible. He noted staff sent a memo to the Board in March 2021 about the process. He reminded the Board that contracts currently being paid would likely be under the old system.

Manager Koch said his understanding of the conclusion of the March 2021 memo is the direction to set retainage at 5% of the total contract price and that amount is held until substantial completion and then released as required by law.

Mr. Welch responded the memo does not have any recommendation and instead documents background on how retainage works. He said the implementation of retainage according to statute is part of putting contract bid documents together for projects. Mr. Welch said retainage is incorporated in contract bid documents along with the other details the engineer and legal counsel manage. He said he does not think the Board needs a policy on retainage any more than all the other the details incorporated from law into the contract documents, along with the science and engineering that go into the contract documents, which are then subject to Board approval before a project goes out for bids.

Mr. Welch explained retainage is holding money back, and the District implements 5% retainage for all the invoices that come forward in a construction contract and that provision is built into the contract document package for a construction project. He said the RPBCWD, like virtually all contracting entities in the state, is limited to a 5%

retainage on any contract amount. Mr. Welch said the state does not require 5% retainage, meaning it could be set lower. He said there is a statutory requirement for release of retainage for work that is done. Mr. Welch explained that requirement is basically within 60 days of substantial completion of the project, unless you have a very specific reason for retaining some of that money, all of it must go back to the contractor. He said the law provides for release of retainage when the engineer recommends it because work is going well and there is no real need to retain that as an incentive for performance by the contractor. Mr. Welch said we have provided for that at no sooner than 50% of completion.

Mr. Welch said there are two other items that are ways like retainage to secure or encourage performance by contractors. He said those ways are bonds, including payment bonds and performance bonds for the entirety of the cost of the construction of the project. He said the bonds are held throughout the process of construction and for, in the case of payment bonds, a year and 90 days after construction is complete. Mr. Welch said also there is payment. He said for example, the project may reach substantial completion but the project still has a few items that are post-substantial completion, such as plant establishment. Mr. Welch said, to continue the example, when a stormwater facility is constructed and is working as it is meant to, that is substantial completion. He said there may still be three years of plant establishment of vegetation around the facility that still needs to be paid, and that work would be paid for after it is completed. He said there is no retainage for that remaining work because it is completed after substantial completion.

Manager Koch said during the Board's regular meeting he will have an item he would like to add to the agenda regarding retainage. He asked if it would be helpful to have a revised memo including what was discussed including the availability of payment bonds and performance bonds and non-payments, so existing and future managers have an explanation about how we go about payments or what rights we have with respect to contracts.

Mr. Welch said those items are mentioned in the existing memo, which is in the Board packet for tonight's workshop. He said he does not recommend an action and does not see a benefit from an action, as this is all part of putting the contract documents together and bringing them forward to the Board for approval before going out for a bid for a project.

Manager Koch said it is obvious he does not necessarily agree with Mr. Welch's characterizations or recommendations. Manager Koch said in his view when a statute puts a cap on it, we should decide if we are going to go with the cap or something less than the cap and whatever our policy is. He said this all came up because we had contracts that had 5% of 50% of a contract price. Manager Koch said he thinks we should decide what the provision will be in our contracts going forward, because he has never seen a construction contract and its terms presented to the Board ever. He said he is asked for copies of the two of the contracts up for payment tonight. Manager Koch said he knows of no reason why we would not want to set what our policy is, because we can always authorize exceptions. He said right now we do not have a policy, it sounds like.

Manager Koch moved to adjourn or take a recess until 7 p.m. Manager Pedersen		
seconded the motion. Upon a roll call vote, the motion to adopt the agenda carried 5-0 as		
follows:		

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

The work session adjourned at 6:20 p.m.

2. Call to Order of Regular Monthly Meeting

President Ziegler called to order the Wednesday, November 2, 2022, Board of Managers Regular Meeting at 7:01 p.m. The meeting was held remotely via meeting platform Zoom. Administrator Jeffery called the roll for the Board of Managers as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Present
Duevel	Present
Koch	Present
Pedersen	Present
Ziegler	Present

3. Approval of Agenda

Manager Koch announced his understanding is that pursuant to Minnesota statutes, we have determined that because of COVID it's not prudent to meet in person and as a result we are meeting via Zoom.

Manager Koch moved to approve the agenda with the following changes: Remove 7e and 7f from Consent Agenda and add them to item 8a, add 8g regarding retainage, which was the subject of this evening's Board Workshop, and in accepting the staff report and engineer's report to reserve the right to discuss the Engineer's Report and Staff Report as part of agenda item 11 - Administrator Report. Manager Crafton seconded the motion. <u>Upon a roll call vote, the motion to adopt the agenda carried 5-0 as follows</u>:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

4. Matters of General Public Interest

President Ziegler presented the procedure for raising Matters of General Public Interest. No matters were raised.

5. Reading and Approval of Meeting Minutes

a. Approve Minutes of the October 5, 2022, Workshop and Regular Monthly Meeting of the RPBCWD Board of Managers

Manager Crafton moved to approve the minutes of the October 5, 2022, RPBCWD Board of Managers Workshop and Regular Monthly Meeting. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion.

Manager Crafton noted an edit to line 7 to correctly spell "any," an edit to line 66 to replace the word "due" with "do," and a change on line 81 to replace "year" with "years." Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

7	7	1
4	1	J

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

275

276277

278279

6. Committee Report

a. Acceptance of the Citizens Advisory Committee Report and Minutes

Mr. Jorgenson reported the CAC had no motions to bring in front of the Board tonight. He said he is available to answer any questions from the Board.

Manager Koch moved to accept the minutes of the CAC's October 17, 2022, meeting. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion. <u>Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as</u> follows:

281

280

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

b. Confirm Board Representative for November 21st CAC Meeting

Manager Pedersen volunteered to be the Board representative at the CAC's November 21st meeting.

c. Accept Personnel Committee Report and Minutes

Manager Pedersen moved to accept the Personnel Committee report from October 24, 2022. Manager Crafton seconded the motion.

Manager Koch stated it is his understanding the documentation discussed at that meeting was not made available at the time of the meeting in violation of the Open Meeting Law. He said he makes note that this is not the first time he has brought this to the attention of the Committee. Manager Koch recommended the Committee comply with the Open Meeting Law going forward. Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	No
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

d. Accept Governance Committee Report and Minutes

Manager Koch moved to lay this over to the next meeting to provide the Governance Committee time to review and revise the Committee's meeting minutes. Manager Ziegler seconded the motion. <u>Upon a roll call vote</u>, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

7. Consent Agenda

Manager Pedersen moved to accept the Consent Agenda. Manager Crafton seconded the motion. Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

Page **11** of **27**

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

The Consent Agenda included item 7a-Adopt Resolution 22-082 to approve consent agenda; 7b-Accept October staff report; 7c-Accept October engineer's report; 7d-Accept October construction inspection report; 7g-Approve Permit #2022-037 Chanhassen 2022 Pond Rehabilitation Project as Presented in the Proposed Board Action Section of the Permit Review Report.

8. Action Items

a. Items Pulled from Consent Agenda

i. Approve Pay Application #8 for Bluff Creek Southwest Branch Stabilization and Restoration Project

Manager Koch said he was confused about line items 8 and 9 on page 2, regarding why some retainage was released and some held. He asked for an explanation of why there is still some retainage. Engineer Sobiech said the release was because originally the District had withheld more than the allowed 5%, so some had to be released back to the contractor. Engineer Sobiech said the amount withheld is the 5%.

Manager Koch moved to accept the pay application and approve payment of payment application #8. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion. <u>Upon a roll</u> call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes

Ziegler	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

327 328

329 330

331

332 333

334

335 336

337

338

Approve Pay Application #6 for Middle Riley Creek Stabilization and Restoration Project

Manager Koch said his question is about line item 8 and the \$500 of retainage. He said he was confused about the retainage amount, given the project was \$365,000. Mr. Sobiech said last month the District released the retainage because substantial completion was achieved. Mr. Sobiech explained the \$500 withheld is to encourage the contractor to provide all necessary close out documentation once the vegetation management is complete.

Manager Koch moved to accept payment app #6 for Middle Riley Creek and to approve the payment of that amount. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion. Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

339 340

341

342

343 344

345

b. Accept September Treasurers' Report

Treasurer Crafton moved to accept the September Treasurer's Report. She reported it has been reviewed in accordance with our internal controls, practices, and procedures. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion.

Manager Koch said he has questions about the fund analysis report. He said there are items over 75% expended, such as Middle Riley Creek. Manager Koch asked the staff and Treasurer to review the budget in light of the overages and to present the Board with a refined budget next month.

Manager Koch said he understands the District has payments coming in from St. Hubert over a period of time, and he does not know if they are reflected in the balance sheet. He said he would like there to be a conversation about it with the accountants to see if the St. Hubert receivables should be reflected in the balance sheet. He also asked to find out from the accountants if the payables for the Duck Lake project should be reflected on the balance sheet, at least a footnote to state we are making payments.

Manager Duevel asked what the accounting cutoff is on a month-to-month basis and how soon does the accountant turn around the statements. Manager Crafton said it is usually a two-month lag time. Manager Duevel said why is there a two-month lag, because they are already accounting for what happened at the end of the month. He said this can be a topic of a future discussion. Ms. Bakkum said invoices up to the week before the Board packet are included. She said for example for this month invoices received up to October 21st were included.

Administrator Jeffery said he could set up a call with Manager Duevel and the accountant to discuss.

Manager Koch said if the District has cutoffs and procedures, he has never seen them. He said we should be documenting all of our accounting procedures and he does not think they are all accounted for. Manager Koch said we had several calls last year with the auditors to have a statement of our procedures to include in the audit, but his recollection is nothing ever happened to that. He said there is no formal adopted financial fiscal policies for our accounting with the exception of one policy about processing certain invoices or requests for payments. He said if there is a call with the accountants, he would like to participate in that call as well.

Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

c. Adopt Resolution 22-083 to Approve Paying of the Bills

Manager Crafton moved to adopt Resolution 22-083 to approve paying of the bills. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion. <u>Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as</u> follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes

Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

d. Consideration of Manager Koch's Data Requests

Administrator Jeffery said Governance Manual has one policy about staff commitment of time by one individual manager, and there is the Minnesota Data Practices Act, and District staff needs clarification from managers on how to proceed.

Mr. Smith said he thinks the memo lays this out in a pretty straightforward way, and he thinks these issues have been before the Board in the past. He said the overriding question is the Board's authorization of the request under your Bylaws. He said there is a series of questions and he recommends taking the question one at a time.

Mr. Smith said the first question is does the Board find that Manger Koch's duties require access to public data responsive to the data request. President Ziegler said he does not see that Manager Koch needs that information for his position. President Ziegler moved to treat Manager Koch's request as a request from the public. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion. Mr. Smith repeated the question in front of the Board, which is does the Board find that Manager Koch's duties require access to public data responsive to the data request. Mr. Smith said he understands the motion to be the answer is no. President Ziegler confirmed Mr. Smith's understanding and said Manager Koch does not need that information.

Manager Koch stated he views this and the other questions to be intentional and repeated violations not only of the Minnesota Data Practices Act but a violation of Section 103.D.315 and the applicable subdivisions which provide for access to that information. He commented he believes this action is retaliatory action in violation of Minnesota law. Manager Koch said if he is not entitled as part of his duties to any of this information, then nobody else is either. He said there is no distinction in the law in how long managers have to vote on issues. Manager Koch said in order to do his duties to the best of his ability, he cannot do that without the requisite information. He pointed out none of this information is private data, and even if it was private data, the Minnesota Data Practices Act itself allows for access to the information for discussion at a meeting.

Manager Koch said those are his comments and he requested his comments are looked at. He said he is being very blunt and very pointed in saying he hopes this is not a case as Manager Crafton is on record saying let Mr. Koch speak, we will just ignore him and then boom. Manager Koch said he thinks anyone listening to the recordings or a number of the recordings over the past year, particularly since June or July of last year, once somebody knew the background, they would conclude that it may well be that Manager Crafton, Manager Pedersen, and Administrator Jeffery are following that line as that

statement was made at a Personnel Committee meeting and at that time neither Manager Pedersen nor Mr. Jeffery objected in any manner, shape, or form, so he can only conclude that they adopted that philosophy. Manager Koch said, so that is what he will say about that, and he will be surprised if anyone actually read the requests. Manager Koch said those are part of his duties, and even if they are not there is the Minnesota Data Practices Act and 103D.315, and if you are trying to impose a fee, no one has come up with a method or calculation of that fee or directed me to any policy we adopted determining how that amount would be determined. Manager Koch said therefore he would have to conclude that any calculation of an amount of any fee to charge him if this was not within his duties would be arbitrary and capricious and therefore in violation of Minnesota law. He said that is all he has to say at this time. He said he reserves any further comments depending upon the actions of the Board.

President Ziegler asked Mr. Smith if he has any comments. Mr. Smith said he thinks Manager Koch has stated these concerns and arguments before, and legal counsel's response to them continues to be the same.

Manager Koch said if he understood Mr. Jeffery's statement about data requests, Manager Koch thinks there was a request by the Star Tribune and one by the Noble Hills group. Manager Koch asked if we received requests from them and what was provided to them and what charges were made to them.

Administrator Jeffery said he does not recall verbatim what was requested, but each requested one item, which was provided electronically at no cost to them. Manager Koch said it would seem to him that the responses to his requests are contrary to the District's very own data practices policy as was basically confirmed by Mr. Jeffery's statement as to his responses to the other two requests.

Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 3-1 with one abstention as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Abstain
Koch	No
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

Mr. Smith said because you answered question 1 in the negative, there is no need to consider question number 2 and that brings us to question number 3: Do you find that Manager Koch's duties require that he have access to private personnel data on the current and former administrator?

President Ziegler moved that Manager Koch does not require that information for his job. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion.

Manger Koch said he repeats all his prior statements with regard to the first question. He said in addition, his requests having to do with former and current administrators had specifically and directly involved allegations against him as a manager, and he cannot think of anything that falls more pointedly within his duties as a manager than to evaluate allegations against him as a manager, and therefore he should have access to that information as a manager as well as pursuant to the statutes, which he reiterates. Manager Koch said he points out that specific allegations were made. He said the former administrator allegedly made statements about him, and if that is the case, that was part of the request, for that information directly affects him and his duties as a manager and how else is he supposed to vote on an item if he does not have the data or the information on which to make a decision on how to vote or proceed as a manager.

Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 3-1 with one abstention as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Abstain
Koch	No
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

Mr. Smith said with the answer to question #3 being no, there is no need to consider question number 4. He said legal counsel and Mr. Jeffery will proceed in responding to the request pursuant to the Board's direction.

Manager Koch stated he knows that there was a follow up letter from Mr. Jeffery to Manager Koch about the data requests and referral to the Board, and now that you've made these decisions in reference to that letter, Manager Koch would like to reiterate that Mr. Jeffery is the responsible authority and as the responsible authority it is his responsibility to make those decisions in compliance with the law, and it is not the Board's duty or directive to make that and should there be disagreement with his decisions, that will fall on him.

e. Adopt Resolution 22-084 Authorizing Staff to Solicit Applicants for CAC Members Including a Student/Youth Representative

Manager Crafton moved Resolution 22-84 Authorizing Staff to Solicit Applicants for CAC Members Including a Student/Youth Representative. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion.

Manager Koch said there is no form of solicitation provided to the managers. He said there should be a form of solicitation in front of the Board for the Board's determination, particularly because he knows there was discussion and consideration about what to include and not include in that solicitation. Manger Koch said he is concerned the solicitation would not be appropriate any may contain other inappropriate comments and he is on record with respect to that.

Manager Koch said the resolution is not consistent with what is being looked at, particularly the solicitation for the youth representative, there is position provided in statute for such.

Administrator Jeffery said the CAC brought forward the idea of a student representative. He said several city councils have student representatives on their council. Administrator Jeffery said he thinks a youth representative is a good idea and an appropriate utilization of CAC membership. He noted the representative would need to be a resident in the watershed

Manager Crafton said she has asked questions to BWSR about the Board's discretion regarding the District's CAC, and she has learned that the Board has quite a bit of discretion regarding its CAC. She said she does not see issues with having a youth member, and it is becoming more common to have youth members as groups are working to become more inclusive. Manager Crafton said she thinks this is definitely within the Board's parameters to be able to support this.

President Ziegler asked Mr. Smith if he sees any legal issues with the solicitation of applicants with the language as explained to include solicitation for a high school representative to the CAC. Mr. Smith said the requirement in the statue requires that members of the advisory committee must be residents of the watershed district. He said the CAC is an advisory committee. He said certainly someone who is not an adult would not be appointed to serve on the Board of Managers, but he thinks it would be legally appropriate to have a youth representative on the advisory committee if that is the wish of the Board.

Manager Koch said he disagrees with Mr. Smith. Manager Koch said the CAC can organize themselves any way they want to. He said our role is strictly limited by statute to appointing members to the CAC. He said he would be shocked if any interpretation of any law setting up any committee would allow for a non-adult to be appointed to any such type of committee unless the statute authorizes it. Manager Koch said he does not think that would be within the purview or the intent of the statute.

Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1 as follows:

open a fon ear vote, the motion earlies vi as fone was

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	No
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

517

518 519

520 521

522 523

524 525

526

527 528

529 530

531 532

533 534 535

542 543

544

545

f. Adopt Resolution 2022-085 Authorizing Administrator to Apply for PRAP Grant to Hire Third-Party Facilitator to Work with Board and Staff on **Strategic Planning Effort**

Manager Pedersen moved to adopt Resolution 2022-085 to authorize the Administrator to apply for a PRAP Grant to hire a third-party facilitator to work with us on our strategic planning efforts. Manager Crafton seconded the motion.

Manager Koch asked if we are voting on Resolution 2022-085 or what Manager Pedersen said. President Ziegler said he believes we are voting on Resolution 2022-085. Manager Koch asked if we could have confirmation from the mover and seconder. Manager Pedersen said yes. Manager Crafton said yes.

Manager Koch asked Administrator Jeffery to display the revised language Manager Koch sent to Administrator Jeffery. Manager Koch said he is moving to amend the resolution to conform to what he sent to Mr. Jeffery. Manager Koch explained the purpose of the amendment, he thought it [the resolution] left out what we were going to do afterwards, so he highlighted [the following] additional language he proposes we add: "and bring to the managers a report on potential candidates to assist in addressing such recommendations and such other recommendations as to how to proceed as they deem appropriate."

Manager Pedersen agreed to Manager Koch's suggested amendment. Manager Crafton did not. Mr. Smith said the mover and seconder of the motion did not both agree to the proposed amendment, so Manager Koch's proposed amendment would need a second. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion to amend if her understanding of the change is that it is to have the potential people to do this to come to us and tell us how they would recommend we would actually do this thing. Manager Koch said that would be part of the input but also that our staff and Mr. Smith would come back and provide recommendations, because my whole point is someone comes back with a report and a recommendation on how to proceed. Manager Koch said his intent is that we would apply for the grant and that the Board and counsel and whoever else would come back

with identifying people with knowledge of the process and we would get a recommendation from that group on potential candidates and how to proceed. He said he wants someone to tell us how to proceed.

Upon a roll call vote, the motion to amend carried 4-1 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	No
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

Upon a roll call vote, the motion to adopt Resolution 22-085 carried 5-0 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

g. Contract Retainage Policy

Manager Koch said he proposes a resolution that our policy is that all construction contracts for which we can require a retainage that we retain the maximum amount allowed by law and that such retainage basically be paid as statutorily required by law. Mr. Smith commented the language proposed by Manager Koch is straightforward and this policy is well within the Board's authority to direct how the Board would like to see contracts administered. Mr. Smith said it is at a level of operational detail that is much more specific and directive than most of your policies. He said he feels as though the contracting process with the engineer and legal counsel and the Administrator works pretty smoothly. Mr. Smith said he is not sure the Board needs a policy but it is within the Board's authority to adopt it if you wish.

Page 20 of 27

Administrator Jeffery concurred with Mr. Smith's statement that he does not know that such a policy is necessary. Engineer Sobiech said the current contracts the District has in place allowed for the flexibility of stopping retainage at the 50% mark. He said his understanding is the memo discussed in tonight's workshop suggests not following that practice as done in the past and instead going forward doing what Manager Koch has laid out in his proposed policy, which is retaining 5% through substantial completion.

Manager Koch said contract administration is important and it is a best management practice for us to state this will be our policy on all our contracts. He said we always have the ability when a contract comes before us to say no, you know, we will adopt a different policy, but everything presented tonight goes to show that we do not have a clear policy. Manager Koch said he thinks we would be remiss in not adopting a policy to guide staff and the engineer in their contracts as to what provision to include, otherwise we have not told them what to include in the contract.

President Ziegler said we have a motion on the table and asked if there is a second.

Manager Duevel asked if anyone could give an example of why the current method would be better than something a little more straightforward. He also asked if the current environment necessitates flexibility.

Engineer Sobiech said he cannot speak to the prior practice as that was put in place before his work with the District started. He said in talking with contractors, he learned it provides no benefit to the District in terms of stopping retainage at 5% and the contractors really do not even look at that when preparing bids. Engineer Sobiech said he has not seen any shift in contractors processes or bidding processes given the current hot construction industry. He clarified contractors typically do not factor in retainage when coming up with their pricing.

The motion died due to lack of a second.

Manager Koch said he wants to be clear the District has no policy as to the amount of retainage on a construction contract, and if we have no policy, to impose one is in his view arbitrary and capricious and we would be opening a door for contractors to argue you put one in one place and not in another and then you have those issues. Manager Koch asked why we are creating an issue.

Manager Koch said maybe there is just a lack of experience with these types of contracts, but you want to nail it down as to what your rights are. He said if you release it on one and you do not release it on another, then you are going to have somebody with the argument that you are being arbitrary and you should release it. Manager Koch said his view is you never want to give a lawyer on the other side any ammunition, and that is exactly what we would be doing. He said if that is what you are deciding, that we have no policy, then we have no policy, and then he asks staff, if you do not have a policy, what are you going to do – you have no direction, you have no authority, what are you going to do?

Administrator Jeffery said staff will work with the attorney and follow state law and then have the retainage released as the contract is executed. Manager Koch said we do

not see the contracts, we see nothing other than a resolution. He said we do not even know what the contract says. Manager Koch said shame on him for ever approving a contract where he does not know the terms of the contract and he does not know if we are being protected or not. Mr. Smith said the policy would be to follow the statute in the memorandum provided to Board.

9. Discussion Items

a. Debrief of October 11, 2022, Project Tour

Administrator Jeffery said staff can answer any questions managers may have that are left over from the tour.

President Ziegler said he heard feedback that the tour should be an annual event. He suggested holding it earlier in the year rather than late fall when everything's covered with leaves.

Manager Pedersen said it was a great idea and is in favor of it occurring on an annual basis.

Manager Crafton concurred and said it was really informative and helped bring into focus exactly how things work.

Manager Koch commented he thought it was well organized but we need to find a different provider of vans, and we should ask for a refund since the air conditioning did not work in two of vans, and we should look for a different vendor. He said he liked the idea of the food and the get together, but he was disappointed in his sandwich as he thought it was particularly dry.

Manager Koch said he thought staff did a great job. Manager Koch said when we looked at the Bearpath Project, he did not appreciate some attitudes. He said he thought the project had room for better design of controlling water going down those very steep slopes, and we spent a lot of money for a very short distance. Manager Koch said he had wondered why it was so difficult to work with them but after the meeting he kind of understood why. He said before looking at a project after-the-fact, he would like to go out and visit a proposed project and see what's being proposed.

Manger Koch reiterated he thinks the payments due from St. Hubert should be reflected in our accounting. He suggested the St. Hubert kids figure out a project to enrich the soils where they have that seating arrangement set.

Manager Koch said he thinks it is a good idea at Rice Marsh Lake to have a couple of panels explaining the District and its contribution to this and what we tried to accomplish. He noted it seemed like there was a lack of effort to preserve the vegetation down slope. He said in the future we should build in the option for watering these projects when they get planted. Manager Koch said it seemed to him there was room for some downslope water retention like ponds, to capture the surface water.

Page 22 of 27

Manager Koch said regarding the Pioneer Trail Wetland Restoration, if there is any possibility at looking at some of the properties to the north and renting or leasing or having some limited number of animals in that area would be helpful. He commented that in the BWSR report he believes there is a statement in there that the District owns the property and he believes that is not correct anymore. Manager Koch said he is concerned that the project is surrounded by properties that have invasives.

Manager Koch said he liked having the tour in the fall because of the site view of the topography. He said also if we do not go visit these projects beforehand to make sure we take pictures and video of the sites before, during, and after and post them to our YouTube channel and onto our website.

Manager Duevel said with the dryness you get to see what's bouncing around out there. He said he likes the notion of the communication amongst the CAC members and the Board members and anyone else who comes by to see what the group is doing. Manager Duevel said it seems it should be a necessary part of the Board's review to see the projects as they're coming along and at least once a year.

Manager Pedersen said next year she would like to see the wetland restoration project comprising the three properties the District purchased.

Manager Koch said while it is still dry it is an excellent opportunity to do a GoPro video of all our creeks that are possible and do it with GPS so we can do it repeatedly. He said he knows of a company using artificial intelligence that uses a computer program that shows differences between photos and video and does not need the same GPS designations.

10. Manager Reports

Manager Koch noted we are still having Zoom meetings, and his understanding is cities and counties are going to in person. He said he is concerned about not going back to in person meetings, even though he prefers Zoom meetings. He said he has not seen any report as to plans for when we return in person. He said he'd like to see a workshop or report on that.

Manager Koch said he already mentioned the issue with Open Meeting Law violations with the Personnel Committee.

Manager Koch said with respect to the website, he has requested either copies of web pages or use of that tool so he could make comments on the website, but to his knowledge he has not received a response to that request. He said there are specific issues of organization he thinks we can improve on and address. Manager Koch asked how we are archiving the Board packet and if appropriate we could have a presentation or discussion on how we are going to go forward because that impacts the work we are going to be doing at the Governance Committee.

Manager Koch said he thinks the District is in continued and repeated violation of the Minnesota Data Practices Act and Section 103D.315, so in light of the fact we are not

holding meetings in place, he does not know what the proposal is for reviewing documentation in place, and given that inconsistency, he thinks it would be appropriate to flesh that, what appears to him an inconsistency, out.

Manager Koch said he has not heard anything about the rules violations on Lotus Lake.

Manager Koch said with respect to minutes of meetings, and we have done it at the

Governance Committee meetings, he thinks it is a best practice at least on the public side of the meetings, to have a section of the business at the meeting about old business. He said it should be a separate section of the agenda going forward and hopefully we will be discussing that at the Governance Committee meeting, so if staff could consider implementing that he thinks it would be an improvement.

Manager Koch said if we are going to change IT providers we need to be soliciting bids. He said he has not seen a disaster response plan or a hacking response plan and he thinks any IT person you talk to recommends both.

Manager Koch said he thinks we need to go out for bids for a new transcriber for our meetings. He said he has no confidence in the accuracy of the transcriptions. Manager Koch said he questions whether or not he should vote to approve any of the minutes. He shared his opinion that one set of minutes had conjectural opinions inserted in them, which are no-no's for a transcriber of minutes or recordings.

Manager Koch said we are still not getting the minutes in accordance with the procedure that we previously adopted several years ago, which was we were supposed to get the minutes one week after the meeting and we are supposed to all provide our comments to the Administrator to collate the changes and include them in the packet. Manager Koch said this goes directly to the comment in the PRAP about our meetings being more efficient, and he thinks this is one area where we could speed things up.

President Ziegler asked if Administrator Jeffery knows if other watersheds are meeting in person. Administrator Jeffery said to the best of his knowledge we are one of the last watersheds to be still meeting virtually. President Ziegler asked if that topic could be added to a workshop agenda for discussion. Administrator Jeffery said yes, he can do that.

Manager Crafton commented about a discussion she had with University of Minnesota Professor Lee Frelich about our area and his studies on urban forests. She said she thinks there is opportunity to do restoration. Manager Crafton said maybe we should look at projects our Master Water Stewards could do, such as removing buckthorn and garlic mustard and putting in native plants and doing tree inventories.

Manager Crafton announced she put in her application for reappointment to BWSR.

Manager Koch said that development we saw made him sick to his stomach to think of all the trees that must have been removed from that project. He said if we really want to do something for the environment, we need to start requiring the preservation of trees and substantial tree plantings. Manager Koch said there are things like restricting tree removals and requiring tree plantings that we should be looking at in our rules and requiring cities to

adopt. He said if we really want to do something about soil health, we need to build it into these developments and requirements for entire subdivisions.

11. Administrative Reports

Manager Koch said he understands the report to say there is not any eDNA for zebra mussels in Lotus Lake. Administrator Jeffery said there was no eDNA on the one site that was tested. He reported District staff is reaching out to the DNR to find out if there is a process for delisting. Administrator Jeffery said today Mr. Maxwell was at Lotus Lake inspecting for zebra mussels.

Mr. Maxwell said he went to the site where he found them before, and he found a dock with some larger zebra mussels on it and the lift itself had thousands of zebra mussels. He said the lift immediately adjacent had zero and he did not find any at any other location, and he guesses it was moved from another location to that spot.

Administrator Jeffery said it seems that someone transported AIS and the District will provide that information to the DNR to follow up. Manager Koch said he thinks it is important to follow, and especially regarding lake service providers, he is sorry, he does not hold them in the highest regard, but something has to be done if someone transported that.

Manager Koch asked what, if anything, are we looking to do at Fredrick-Miller Spring. Administrator Jeffery said there had been displacement of the scarp but it has revegetated and stabilized. He said he thinks we do need to continue to monitor it, but it has not risen to the level of some of the other stream issues.

Manager Koch said we have to do something about the Lotus Lake violations. He said we cannot let people violate the rules as they are, and he would like to see a more pointed postcard to residents around the lakes telling them you need a permit before you do this. He said it would be nice to have some type of postcard to send to these contractors to say you need a permit before you go touch this lakeshore.

Manager Koch asked if the National Association of Lakes annual conference has happened. Administrator Jeffery said no, it is happening at the end of November and Mr. Maxwell is attending. Manager Koch asked to be shared the conference materials.

Manager Koch asked Administrator Jeffery where we stand with the agreement for Middle Riley Creek. Administrator Jeffery said he contacted their legal counsel and the documents are drafted and just need to be recorded. He said we have the final agreement, and it just has not been executed because the documents haven't been recorded.

12. Legal Counsel Reports

Attorney Smith said legal counsel has no report this evening.

- North American Lake Management Society (NALMS), 11/14-11/17/22
- 776 Raingarden and Shoreline Buffer Workshop, 11/29/22
- MAWD Annual Conference, 11/30/22 12/3/22777

15. Adjournment

Manager Koch moved to adjourn the meeting. Manager Pedersen seconded the motion. Upon a roll call vote, the motion carried 5-0 as follows:

Manager	Action
Crafton	Yes
Duevel	Yes
Koch	Yes
Pedersen	Yes
Ziegler	Yes

The meeting adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Dorothy Pedersen, Secretary